December 18, 1968

Mr. Alcine Grillot 2708 Kreitser Road Dayton, Ohio 45439

Dear Mr. Grillot:

Re: License and Regulations for Landfills South Dayton Dump and Landfill 1975 Springboro Pike Moraine

We have been in personal contact with each landfill operator during the past few months and have advised each of the changes in the State law.

Since the State law is not in full effect until July 1, 1969, the Montgomery County Prosecutor and Legal Council of the Ohio Department of Health, have advised us to take the following action.

The Board of Health on November 3, 1968 repealed only Section 65.10, 65.50 and 65.55 of the Montgomery County Sanitary Regulations. Now all landfill operators, under the jurisdiction of the Montgomery County Health Department will need to apply only for the State license. This should be done through our office. However, both the standards as setforth in Regulation 65 and the State standards will be applicable and enforced.

All garbage and refuse must be compacted and wovered daily, with a minimum of $6^{\rm M}$ of dirt. Additional covering requirements will be found in Regulation 65.

No burning will be allowed within a landfill site. The burning of clean wood, free of garbage and refuse will not be within the purview of this office unless a nuisance is created. On sites other than a landfill site, we would advise you first check with your lacal soning office and fire department.

The State law requires a landfill plan to be submitted with your application. (Note page 3h - 35 of the enclosed "Interpretive Guide" from the Ohio Department of Health.) Since the deadline for submission of landfill applications is close, December 31, 1968, we are not at this time requiring extansive engineering plans. We do, however, expect your operation plan to be complete enough to gutline your method of operation.

We suggest you read both of the enclosed regulations carefully. Please enclose your check for the State required \$500.00 with your application. Checks should be made payable to the Montgomery County Health Department. The State law requires you to have your license by January 1, 1969.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call us.

Sincerely yours,

ROBERT A. VOGEL, M.D., Health Commissioner

RAV/cs 10Dec 60 Enc. Delsonish person to me coullet

940



GROUND-WATER POLLTATION SOURCE INVENTORY

LANDVILL FACT SHEET N 399 43'34" E 84° 13'17"

DESCRIPTION: Entity Moraine Recyling Inc. Omer/Operator Location 1975 Springboro Pike Map Quad. South Dayton _ Township Horaine County Montgomery Section 13 Length of Operation Areal Extent Character of Wastes Industrial, Municipal Amount Method of Operation Area Fill ŒŒŒŒŒ Classial Deposits & Alluvia) deposits in river bottom lands. Particule - Richmond and Maysville Group (shale and limestone) Depth to Pedrock 125+ feet Type of Cover Available - sand and gravel Q-martity inadequate Tenegraphy flat floodplain Serface Drainage West to Miami River Recarks GROUND-WATER: Priminal Aquifer(s) Sand and Gravel deposits Vertical Separation of Fill and Aquifer None Distance to Hearby Wells _____ Mone nearby, closest 1/2 mile upgradient . from site. Direction of Ground-Water Novement Hest to Great Miami River

field-Kaler, Continued Remarks POLLUTION FORENTIAL: Existing or Potential Effect High pollution potential. Barrels and drums of highly toxic chemical are being accepted at the fill. material is being used. Monitor Program Monitor wells should be placed down gradient from the fill to observer the movement of any contaminants. Comments Liquid wastes should no longer be accepted at this ##ME site because of the effect they will have on the groundwater quality in this area. Prepared By

AIR

Criterion Compliance Decision

Complies

Does Not Comply

		_J
1.	. Is open burning of solid wastes practiced at the f	facility?
	YES (Continue to 2)	
	☐ Records of previous open burning ☐ Visual observation of open burning ☐ Physical evidence of previous open burn	ning
	NO (COMPLIES)	
~	☐ Facility is a surface impoundment and d ☐ Facility is a landspreading operation a wastes ☐ Landfill which does not open burn	
2.	Are residential, commercial, institutional, or indopen burned at the facility?	dustrial solid wastes
	YES (Does not comply)	
	☐ Pecords of previous open burning ☐ Visual observation of open burning ☐ Physical evidence of previous open burn	ing
	☐ NO (Continue to 3)	·
3. ⁄	Are landclearing debris, diseased trees, debris from operations, silvicultural and agricultural wastes, burned at the facility?	
	YES (Continue to 4)	
	☐ Pecords of previous burning ☐ Visual observation of open burning ☐ Physical evidence of previous open burn	ning
	□ NO (CCMPLIES)	
4.	Does the facility control air emissions in accordance Implementation Plan (SIL) approved or promulgated pursuant to Section 110 of the Clean Air Act?	nce with the State by the administrator
	YES (COMPLIES)	•
	☐ Opinion given by State agency managing ☐ Variances or permits under SIP examined ☐ Visual observations of open burning con	
	[7 NO (Does not comply)	

Chapter 2(b) SAFETY - FIRES

Criterion Compliance Decision

⊠ Complies

☐Does Not Camply

1.	Does the famility have the potential for fire occurrence?
	YES (Continue to 2)
	NO (COMPLIES)
	☐ Facility receives only non-flammable, non-combustible wastes
2.	Does the facility comply with Section 257.3-7, of the Air Criterion?
	YES (COMPLIES)
	☐The facility controls the occurrence of fires through compliance with Section 257.3-7
٠	NO (Continue to 3)
3.	Is periodic cover material applied so as to reduce the risk of fire?
	YES (COMPLIES)
	<pre>The facility applies and compacts cover over combustible solid waste at the end of the operating day The facility applies and compacts cover at least once every 24 hours The facility incorporates all waste into the soil at the end of the operating day</pre>
	NO (fontinue to 4)
4.	Does the facility have adequate operating procedures to control fires should they occur?
	YES (COMPLIES)
	☐ Landfill minimizes fire hazards when conducting open burning, such as:
	☐ Supervision during burning ☐ Limiting access during burning ☐ Established arrangements with the local fire department ☐ Earth stockpiles near the burning area ☐ On-site availability of heavy equipment to extinguish fires ☐ Water supply under sufficient pressure is available ☐ Fire extinguishers are available ☐ Firebreaks or fire lanes are present

Chapter 2(b)

SAFETY - FIRES

	☐ Landfill minimizes fire hazards by proper operating procedures:
	 Previous inspections and reports indicate no problem Permit conditions are being followed (for a fire protection plan)
	 □ No complaints have been made □ Records of local fire department indicate no citations have been given
	☐ High frequency of spreading and compacting all combustible wastes
	Waste materials with high fire potential are unloaded a safe distance from the working face
	Unloading of wastes adequately supervised Hot or burning loads are extinguished with water or soil before incorporating into the fill
	 Earth stockpiles are located near the working face Water supply under sufficient pressure is available at the working face
•	☐ Fire extinguishers present on all equipment and buildings ☐ Arrangements are established with local fire fighting departments ☐ On-site availability of heavy equipment to extinguish fires ☐ Firebreaks, fire lanes are present
	Surface impoundment minimizes fire hazards by proper handling and storage of liquid wastes:
	 □ Wastes are mixed to reduce flammability □ Suitable fire extinguishing equipment is present □ Established arrangements with local fire department or trained on-site personnel □ Wastes can be rapidly drained or waste flow can be controlled □ Waste can be isolated □ Impoundment is readily accessible by fire-fighting equipment
	☐ Landspreading facility minimizes fire hazards by proper operating procedures:
	☐ Suitable fire-fighting equipment is available ☐ Established arrangements with local fire department ☐ Facility is readily accessible by fire-fighting equipment
<u> NO</u>	(Does not comply)

Chapter 2(d)

SAFETY - ACCESS

Criterion Compliance Decision

Complies

Does Not Comply

Is access of unauthorized persons into the facility controlled? XES YES (COMPLIES) Natural controls: Trees and hedges ⊠ Berms and ditches □Cliffs and ravines ☐ Remoteness Artificial controls: 区 Gates Fences NO (Continue to 2) Are authorized persons controlled within the facility so as to not expose them to potential health and safety hazards? (COMPLIES) YES ☐ Supervision of the unloading area ☐ Adequate lighting ☐ Posting information and direction signs ☐ Prohibition of scavenging ☐ Control of salvaging ☐ Trafficable roadways ☐ Alternate discharge point

□ NO (Does not comply)

Chapter 4
GROUND WATER
Criterion Compliance Decision
Complies .
Does Not Comply HIGH PRIORITY

	HIGH PRIDEIT
1.	Does ground water contain more than 10,000 mg/l TDS, and is it not being used as a human drinking water source?
	YES (COMPLIES)
	Ground water is not present beneath the site Ground water has more than 10,000 mg/1 TDS, TDS = and is not used as a human drinking water source Ground water is not present in usable quantities beneath the site
	∑ №O (Continue to 2)
	SGround water has less than 10,000 mg/l TDS SGround water is being used as a drinking water source
2.	Rank facility according to its contamination potential.
	Landfills
	□ Facility overlies sole source aquifer (high priority) □ Facility has a history of leachate problems (high priority) □ Ranking from Table 4-2 Saturated zone permeability 10'-10-1 cm/sec □ Unsaturated zone thickness
	Migh priority
	Medium priority
	Low priority
	Surface Impoundments
	Ranking from Table 4-3 Saturated zone rating Unsaturated zone rating
	High priority
	Medium priority
	Now priority

GROUND WATER

Continued

Landspreading	Facilities

		□ Sludge nitrogen is being applied in excess of crop or vegetative demand (high priority) □ Ranking from Table 4-2 for industrial waste facilities
	[] High	priority
	Medi	em priority
	_ Iow	priority
3.		nderground drinking water source been contaminated by the beyond the solid waste (or alternate boundary)?
•	YES	(Does not comply)
		☐ Monitoring shows contamination of a drinking water source Contaminating substances and concentrations
	<u> </u>	(COMPLIES)
		☐ Facility does not overlie a drinking water source ☐ Monitoring shows no contamination beyond the solid waste (or alternate boundary)

ENDANGERED SPECIES

	YES (Does not comply - Continue to 4)
•	Does the facility cause or contribute to the taking of any endangered or threatened species of plants, fish, or wildlife?
	Factors considered: Type of species and species habitat Species characteristics
	Sensitivity of species and species habitat to adverse impacts
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Proximity of facility Facility size, design, and operational characteristics
	ractiffy size, design, and operational characteristics
	Adverse impacts considered:
	Harassing, harming, pursuing, hunting, wounding, killing, trapping, capturing, or collecting species (direct violation of ESA, does not comply)
	Adverse modification or loss of habitat (including air & water pollution)
	Infringement on breeding, nesting, and feeding activities
	Thirtigeneric on breeding, nesting, and reeding activities
	Interference with species movement
	YES (Does not comply)
	TWO (COMPLIES)

Chapter 6(b)

SENAGE SLUDGE AND SEPTIC

TANK PUMPINGS

Criterion Compliance Decision

◯ Complies

Does Not Comply

1.	Are sewage sludge or septic tank pumpings applied to the surface of the land or incorporated into the soil?
	YES (Continue to 2)
	MO (COMPLIES)
	☑ Facility is a trenching or burial operation
2.	Are crops planted for human consumption within 18 months after application of waste?
	YES (Continue to 3)
	☐ Crops grown at time of inventory are for human consumption ☐ Information from operating plan ☐ Past usage or crops in the vicinity ☐ Information from facility owner/operator
	Occupation (Continue to 5)
3.	Does the waste contact the food portion of the crop?
	YES (Continue to 4)
	☐ Direct application or rainfall splash ☐ Crops with food portion close to the ground ☐ Taller crops that receive application early in growing stage
	Continue to 6)
4.	Is the waste treated by a process to further reduce pathogens?
	YES (COMPLIES)
	☐ Verification of acceptable process from appropriate source Source used
	NO (Does not comply - continue to 5)
	☐ Verification cannot be made

Chapter 6(b)

SEWAGE SLUDGE AND SEPTIC

TANK PUMPINGS

5.	Is sawage sludge the waste material being applied?
	YES (Continue to 6)
	NO (Continue to 7)
6.	Has the sludge been treated by a process to significantly reduce pathogen and is access controlled - 12 months for the public, and 1 month for grazing animals whose products are consumed by man?
	YES (Both reduction process and access control must be checked) (COMPLIES)
	Uverification of acceptable process from appropriate source Source used
	Appropriate access controls are used in public access areas [Facility is on private farmland not subject to frequent trespass
	NO (Does not comply)
	☐ Verification cannot be made ☐ No access controls are used ☐ Facility is on private farmland subject to frequent trespass, and access is not controlled
7.	Has the waste been treated by a process to significantly reduce pathogens or is access prevented - 12 months for the public and 1 month for grazing animals whose products are consumed by man?
	YES (COMPLIES)
	☐ Verification of acceptable process from appropriate source Source used ☐ Access controlled
	Does not comply)

APPLICATION TO LAND USED FOR THE

PRODUCTION OF FOOD CHAIN CROPS

4.	solid waste and soli mixture at ph 6.5 or greater at the time or solid waste application or at the time the crop is planted, whichever occurs later?
	YES (COMPLIES)
5.	Is the background soil pH greater than 6.5 or are there adequate safeguards to assure that the soil pH will be maintained at 6.5 or higher whenever food chain crops are grown?
	YES (Continue to 6)
	 □ SCS maps or reports, or local agricultural extension service □ Laboratory analysis □ pH of soil is controlled whenever food chain crops are grown.
	□ <u>NO</u> (Go to 7)
6.	Does the soil cadmium concentration exceed 5 kg/ha with a CEC of less than 5, or 10 kg/ha with a CEC of 5 to 15, or 20 kg/ha with a CEC greater than 15?
	YES (Does not comply)
	NO (COMPLIES - Go to 8)
7.	Has the cumulative application of cadmium exceeded 5 kg/ha?
	□kg/ha cadmium in soil □kg/ha cumulative application
	YES (Does not comply - continue to 8)
	NO (COMPLIES - continue to 8)
8.	Is the annual application rate of cadmium in excess of 2 kg/ha (1.25 kg/ha after 1/1/84 and 0.5 kg/ha after 1/1/87)?
	Gkg/ha/yr cadmium application rate(see Figure 7-3)
	YES (Does not comply - continue to 9)
	Continue to 9)

APPLICATION TO LAND USED FOR THE

PRODUCTION OF FOOD CHAIN CROPS

14.	Is the milk or animal feed monitored to assure that the PCB concentrations are less than 1.5 mg/kg (fat basis) in milk, or less than 0.2 mg/kg in animal feed?		
	YES (COMPLIES)		
	NO (Does not comply)		

FLOODPLAINS

(continued)

凶	YES	(Complies) State washout Site analysis	assessment of washout	or 404 permit protection
	X	5100 01.0-2		

☐ NO (Does not comply)

☐ Washout by flood of lesser magnitude than the 100-year flood
☐ Site analysis of washout protection

FLOODPLAINS

he channel is diked
than 1.0 foot
l-1) - priority 2 -1) - priority 3
assessment:
floodplain:
in:
•
base flood so as not to d or water resources?